

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/718,834	11/21/2003	Margot O'Toole	WYE-014	3669	
54623	7590 06/13/2006		EXAMINER		
	ICK & LOCKHART NET FINANCIAL CENT	NICHOLSON GRAHAM LLP/WYETH TER	GAMETT, DANIEL C		
ONE LINCOL			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
BOSTON, MA	A 02111-2950		1647		
		DATE MAILED: 06/13/200	6		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/718,83	4	O'TOOLE ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Daniel C. (Samett, PhD	1647				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication reply	n app ars on the	cov r sheet with th	orrespondenc ad	ddress			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicating of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory or to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF TH FR 1.136(a). In no eve on. period will apply and wil statute, cause the appli	IS COMMUNICATION nt, however, may a reply be tin expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	02 February 200	<u>5</u> .					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5) [6) [7) [Claim(s) <u>1-53</u> is/are pending in the applic 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) <u>1-53</u> are subject to restriction and	thdrawn from cor						
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.						
10)	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
,—	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen	t(s)							
	ee of References Cited (PTO-892)	40)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/5 er No(s)/Mail Date		5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		O-152)			

Art Unit: 1647

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-8, 34, 35, drawn to an isolated nucleic acid molecule encoding a polypeptide comprising an amino acid sequence at least 95% identical to the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO:2, classified in class 536, subclass 23.1.
- II. Claims 9-28, drawn to a polypeptide comprising an amino acid sequence at least 95% identical to the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO:2, classified in class 530, subclass 350.
- III. Claims 29-33 and 38 drawn to an antibody that binds selectively to the polypeptide comprising an amino acid sequence at least 95% identical to the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO:2, classified in class 530, subclass 387.1.
- IV. Claims 36 and 37, drawn to a method of detecting the presence of a BFLP1698 polypeptide in a sample, the method comprising: contacting the sample with a compound other than an antibody that selectively binds to said polypeptide, classified in class 435, subclass 7.1.
- V. Claim 39 and 40, drawn to method for determining the presence of or predisposition to lupus nephritis in a subject, the method comprising: a) measuring the amount of a BFLP1698 nucleic acid molecule in a sample from said subject, classified in class 435, subclass 6.

Art Unit: 1647

VI. Claims 41 and 42, drawn to method for determining the presence of or predisposition to lupus nephritis in a subject, the method comprising: a) measuring the amount of a BFLP1698 polypeptide in a sample from said subject, classified in class 435, subclass 7.1.

- VII. Claims 43-48, drawn to a method for screening for a therapeutic agent for treating an autoimmune disorder, classified in class 435, subclass 7.1.
- VIII. Claims 49-53, in part, drawn to method of treating lupus nephritis in a subject, the method comprising administering to said subject a therapeutically effective amount of an antibody that inhibits activity of a BFLP1698 polypeptide, classified in class 424, subclass 130.1.
- IX. Claims 49-53, in part, drawn to method of treating lupus nephritis in a subject, the method comprising administering to said subject a therapeutically effective amount of an agent other than an antibody that inhibits activity of a BFLP1698 polypeptide, classified in class 424, subclass dependent on the structure of the agent.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I, II, and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions are materially different products, a nucleic acid, a polypeptide, and an antibody.

Art Unit: 1647

3. Inventions IV-IX are unrelated, each to the other. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, each invention is a distinct method. Inventions V and VI require materially different reagents, nucleic acid and polypeptide, respectively, thus indicating a materially different design and mode of operation. Inventions VIII and IX require materially different reagents, antibody and compound, respectively, thus indicating a materially different design and mode of operation. Inventions IV, V/VI, VII, and VIII/IX each have different effects, as indicated by their definitions, and each has a different design and mode of operation.

- 4. Invention VIII is unrelated to any of Inventions I or II. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, Invention VIII is a method of treatment that does not require the nucleic acid or polypeptide, products of Inventions I and II.
- Invention IX is unrelated to any of Inventions I, II, or III. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, Invention IX is a method of treatment that does not require the products of Inventions I-III.
- 6. Inventions I and V are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP

Art Unit: 1647

§ 806.05(h). In the instant case the nucleic acid of Invention I can be used in a materially different process, such as making a transgenic animal.

- 7. Inventions II and VI/VII are related as product and processes of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). Inventions VI and VII are materially different and distinct methods, as described above, and therefore, in each case the polypeptide product of Invention II can be used in a materially different process.
- 8. Inventions III and VIII are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case, the antibody product of Invention III can be used in a materially different process, such as for isolation of the BFLP1698 protein.
- 9. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, and as shown by their different classification, and the search required for any of Groups I-VIII is not required for any other Group, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 1647

10. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. All claims directed a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel C Gamett, Ph.D., whose telephone number is 571 272 1853. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brenda Brumback can be reached on 571 272 0961. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571 273 8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DCG Art Unit 1647 8 June 2006

DAVID S. ROMEO